

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/295,690	04/21/1999	JEROME A MOUTON JR.	081862.P122	7482
7590 03/26/2007 BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD SEVENTH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90025			EXAMINER	
			FLEURANTIN, JEAN B	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·			2162	
			<del>_</del> - ,	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MC	3 MONTHS 03/26/2007 PAPER		ER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/295,690	MOUTON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	JEAN B. FLEURANTIN	2162				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet with the	ne correspondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ID.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply but will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS to the cause the application to become ABANDO	TION.  De timely filed  from the mailing date of this communication.  ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 s	September 2006.					
·— · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	is action is non-final.					
,	<u>-</u>					
closed in accordance with the practice under	·					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application	n.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 13-17</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-12</u> is/are rejected.	• •					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	cepted or b) objected to by the	ne Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ction is required if the drawing(s) is	objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached Off	fice Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	9(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.	•				
2. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received in Appli	cation No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	ority documents have been rec	eived in this National Stage				
application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not rece	eived.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summ					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Ma 5)  Notice of Inform	nal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

09/295,690 Art Unit: 2162

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

1. In view of the Appeal Brief filed on 9/06/06, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. A new ground rejection set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

- (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,
  - (2) request reinstatement of the appeal.

If reinstatement of the appeal is requested, such request must be accompanied by a supplemental appeal brief, but no new amendments, affidavits (37 CFR 1.130, 1.131 or 1.132) or other evidence are permitted. See 37 CFR 1.193(b)(2).

The following is the status of claims:

Claims 1-17 remain pending for further examination.

#### Specification Objections

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The claimed "article" and "apparatus", "computer readable medium" and "system" as recites in claim 5, lines 1, 2 and 3 consecutively; claim 9, lines 1; claim 13, line 1, do not have support in the description (MPEP 608.01 and 2173). Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Objections

Claim 13, line 4, is objected to because of ".". Appropriate correction is required.

09/295,690 Art Unit: 2162

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 5-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

As set forth in MPEP 2106:

As per claim 5

The independent claim 5 is directed to an article of manufacture, in which in which repeatedly generating a revised update message having a next most recent version format based on the update message until a final update message having an upgraded version format is generated. The claimed steps are not being performed by any form computer hardware component. The mechanism of creating new database structures, which conform to the specifications of the latest version, and updating the structures using update messages generated from the databases as the purpose of the invention. The claimed, "article" and "storage medium" fail to fall with one of four statutory categories of invention, process, machine, manufacture and composition, since it fails to produce a useful and tangible result.

Furthermore, the claim lacks the necessary physical articles or objects to constitute a machine or a manufacture within the meaning of 35 USC 101. They are clearly not a series of steps or acts to be a process nor a composition of matter. As such, the claim fails to fall within a statutory category. It is, at best, functional descriptive material per se.

As per claim 9

The independent claim 9 is directed to an apparatus for updating a message from a first version to an upgraded version y chaining through intermediate versions, in which in which repeatedly generating

Application/Control Number:

09/295,690

Art Unit: 2162

a revised update message having a next most recent version format based on the update message until a final update message having an upgraded version format is generated. The claimed steps are not being performed by any form computer hardware component. The mechanism of creating new database structures, which conform to the specifications of the latest version, and updating the structures using update messages generated from the databases as the purpose of the invention. The claimed, "article" and "storage medium" fail to fall with one of four statutory categories of invention, process, machine.

Furthermore, the claim lacks the necessary physical articles or objects to constitute a machine or a manufacture within the meaning of 35 USC 101. They are clearly not a series of steps or acts to be a process nor a composition of matter. As such, the claim fails to fall within a statutory category. It is, at best, functional descriptive material per se.

manufacture and composition, since it fails to produce a useful and tangible result.

Descriptive material can be characterized as either "functional descriptive material" or "nonfunctional descriptive material." Both types of "descriptive material" are nonstatutory when claimed as descriptive material per se, 33 F.3d at 1360, 31 USPQ2d at 1759. When functional descriptive material is recorded on some computer-readable medium, it becomes structurally and functionally interrelated to the medium and will be statutory in most cases since use of technology permits the function of the descriptive material to be realized. Compare In re Lowry, 32 F.3d 1579, 1583-84, 32 USPQ2d 1031, 1035 (Fed. Cir. 1994)

Merely claiming nonfunctional descriptive material, i.e., abstract ideas, stored on a computer-readable medium, in a computer, or on an electromagnetic carrier signal, does not make it statutory. See Diehr, 450 U.S. at 185-86, 209 USPQ at 8 (noting that the claims for an algorithm in Benson were unpatentable as abstract ideas because "[t]he sole practical application of the algorithm was in connection with the programming of a general purpose computer.").

And, also all dependent claims are rejected under the same rational.

Application/Control Number:

09/295,690 Art Unit: 2162

Page 8 5

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 9/06/06 with respect to all pending claims have been fully considered and are persuasive. The prior art of record fails to disclose the claimed invention. However, claims 1-12 would be allowable if applicant has overcome the rejection(s) of claims under 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 13-17 are allowed as indicated.

Page 16 /12/ 2/19/07

Application/Control Number:

09/295,690 Art Unit: 2162

**CONTACT INFORMATION** 

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to JEAN B. FLEURANTIN whose telephone number is 571 - 272-4035. The examiner can

normally be reached on 7:05 to 4:35.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

JOHN E BREENE can be reached on 571 - 272-4107. The fax phone number for the organization where

this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from

either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC)

at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jean Bolte Fleurantin

Patent Examiner

**Technology Center 2100** 

March 17, 2007

JOHN BREENE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100